



Discretionary Project Grant (DPG) Requirements 2021/2022 Professional Body Qualification and Designations Support (Non-PIVOTAL)

Closing date: 27 August 2021 at 16:00

Name of Service provider:

Postal address:

Street address:

Telephone number: Code Number

Cellular number:

Facsimile number: Code Number

e-Mail address:

Contact details of alternative responsible person who will act on behalf of the person above should he/she not be available

Name and Surname

Telephone number: Code Number

Cellular number:

e-Mail address:

1. COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

- 1.1 As public entity, Fasset has both the obligation and the responsibility to ensure that it spends the public money, with which it is entrusted in a manner that meets governments requirements. Consequently, Grant Applicants are required to adhere to the compliance requirements as listed in this section.

FRAUD AND CORRUPTION

- 1.2 All grant applicants are to take note of the implications of contravening the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, 2004 (No 12 of 2004) and any other applicable act.

B-BBEE CERTIFICATE AND TAX CLEARANCE CERTIFICARE

- 1.3.1 All grant applicants are to provide a B-BBEE status level certificate and the Tax Clearance Certificate (SARS pin) on request once Fasset has granted provisional approval.
- 1.3.2 Grant applications will be assessed based on the compliant requirements with the BBBEE and tax compliance. Fasset reserves its right to award to not to award to applicants with BBBEE level status above level 4.
- 1.3.3 **The professional body's accreditation certificate must be included in the submission.**

INDEPENDENT GRANT SUBMISSION

- 1.4.1 Grant applicants must complete and sign the Certificate of Independent Grant Submission, which can be found in Annexure A. The signed certificate must be included in the grant submission and the purpose of the certificate is to prevent potential collusion amongst applicants.

DEADLINE DATE AND MODE OF GRANT SUBMISSION

- 1.5.1 All applications must be submitted to the following email address: dg@fasset.org.za it must be noted that Fasset will not accept any physical submissions
- 1.5.2 The submission due date is Friday, 27 August at 16:00
- 1.5.3 **Grant applications received by Fasset after the closing date shall not be considered.**
- 1.5.4 Grant Applicants are required to submit their Proposal which contains, at minimum:
- 1.5.4.1 Experience of Grant applications
 - 1.5.4.2 Technical approach/Methodology
 - 1.5.4.3 Risk Management
 - 1.5.4.4 Experience of the Team; and
 - 1.5.4.4 Pricing schedule
- 1.5.5 Fasset reserves the right to query a pricing schedule as part of the evaluation process

2. GRANT CRITERIA (Terms of Reference)

The grant criteria should *please* be read *carefully* and adhere to, to minimize grant queries (where applicable). The following criteria are applicable to this grant:

- Description:** Non-PIVOTAL Qualification/Designation Support Programmes that assists unemployed learners to:
- i. Achieve a non-PIVOTAL academic qualification linked to one of Fasset's top ten scarce skills for the 2021/22 financial year; or
 - ii. Complete a non-PIVOTAL professional body qualification linked to one of Fasset's top ten scarce skills for the 2021/22 financial year; or
 - iii. Attain a non-PIVOTAL professional body designation, linked to one of Fasset's top ten scarce skills for the 2021/22 financial year, which has been obtained via an assessment process.

2.1 Overall Objectives and Scope

- 2.1.1 Fasset requires the services of one or more suitably recognised professional body/ies or a service provider accredited by a professional body (grant applicant), to oversee / project manage the delivery of Non-PIVOTAL professional body programmes that lead to the completion of a professional body qualification/designation not on the NQF.
- 2.1.2 Achievement of this criterion must be effected through an assessment process. Professional bodies must endorse the process (qualification or designation achievement) completed through the intervention.
- 2.1.3 Unemployed learners are eligible for this programme.
- 2.1.4 Professional Body Institutions or accredited Service Providers are eligible to apply to manage this programme
- 2.1.5 One application per applicant (i.e. Professional or accredited Service Provider).
- 2.1.6 The programme must be completed by 31 March 2023.
- 2.1.7 The programme must achieve a throughput rate which is 10% higher than mainstream results for the period under consideration. Failure to meet this objective will result in a penalty on the overall administration fee.
- 2.1.7 Funding will be capped at R35 000 per learner.
- 2.1.8 Fasset will provide tools of trade for each learner. (where required and applicable)

3 EVALUATION PROCESS

3.1 Determination of Score for Functionality and Grant Adjudication

- 3.1.1 Should a grant applicant achieve a functionality score that is 50% or less, the grant application will be rejected.
- 3.1.2 Should a grant applicant received a functionality score between 51% and 69% Fasset might engage with the applicant or the grant application.
- 3.1.3 All grant applications that receive a functionality score of more than 70% will be recommended for adjudication.
- 3.1.4 **Grant applicants should indicate if this is the first time proposing such a project**

Table 1: Evaluation Criteria and Weights for Determining Functionality Scores

Functionality Scoring Determinants		
Total Points		100
1	Experience of respondent	30
	<i>Experience with managing Professional Body Designation Support programme, project management, mentoring and general student support</i>	
	1.1.1 Grant applicant's experience in project managing or overseeing professional body designation support programmes.	
	1.1.2 Grant applicant's experience with mentoring.	
	1.1.3 Grant applicant's experience with general student support	
	Scoring Criteria	Points
	Grant applicant had addressed all requirements specified in this section in full details	5
	Grant applicant had addressed between two and three the requirements specified in this section in full details	3
	Grant applicant had addressed only one of the requirements specified in this section in full details	1
	Grant applicant has not addressed the requirements specified in this section.	0
2	Technical Approach / Methodology	40

	<p>Present a comprehensive project plan detailing how the project will be implemented</p> <p>Grant applicants must:</p> <p>2.1.1 Define the problem statement and provide an overview of trends / statistical research to support the problem statement.</p> <p>2.1.2 Provide details of possible causes of the problem and factors that impact on learner success.</p> <p>2.1.3 Provide details of “at risk” learners.</p> <p>2.2 <i>Learners from Rural Areas</i></p>									
	<p>2.2.1 Indicate how the proposed strategy and plan includes consideration of the inclusion of learners from rural areas.</p> <p>2.3 <i>Details of the proposed solution:</i></p> <p>Grant applicants must:</p> <p>2.3.1 Provide an overview of the proposed solution. Where applicable details of how the problem was addressed in the past must be provided.</p> <p>2.3.2 Provide sufficient detail of how the proposed solution will result in the Completion of a Non-Professional Body Designation or qualification</p> <p>2.3.3 Present a sufficiently detailed project plan for how the proposed solution will be implemented.</p> <p>2.3.4 Provide the recruitment and selection criteria and methodology.</p> <p>2.3.5 Provide proposed learner numbers.</p> <p>Provide reasoning with respect to the feasibility of the project.</p> <p>2.3.7 Provide details as to any similar past projects against which the proposed project has been benchmarked.</p> <p>2.3.8 Provide detail on the delivery of Non-PIVOTAL programmes.</p> <p>2.3.9 Provide an assessment methodology, which must include details of how to assist learners to achieve the pre-set milestones, with respect to achieving a qualification or designation.</p> <p>2.3.10 Provide an assessment methodology that must include corrective actions that will be taken, should learners fail to achieve the pre- set milestones.</p> <p>2.3.11 Provide for a <i>comprehensive</i> mentorship programme for the duration of the project.</p> <p>2.3.12 Indicate how a 10% throughput rate higher than mainstream will be achieved.</p> <p>2.3.13 Indicate any penalties and the implementation thereof, for learners who fail to achieve the pre-set milestones.</p> <p>2.3.14 Indicate the replacement or exit strategies in place.</p> <p>2.3.15 Ensure that the project plan includes regular reporting to Fasset on project progress, performance statistics, delivery, learner details, risk mitigation, continuous evaluation and a financial update.</p>									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="272 1816 1046 1850">Scoring Criteria</th> <th data-bbox="1046 1816 1236 1850">Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1850 1046 1912">Grant applicant had addressed all requirements specified in this section in full details.</td> <td data-bbox="1046 1850 1236 1912">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1912 1046 1975">Grant applicant had addressed between two and three the requirements specified in this section in full details.</td> <td data-bbox="1046 1912 1236 1975">3</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1975 1046 2033">Grant applicant had addressed only one of the requirements specified in this section in full details.</td> <td data-bbox="1046 1975 1236 2033">1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Scoring Criteria	Points	Grant applicant had addressed all requirements specified in this section in full details.	5	Grant applicant had addressed between two and three the requirements specified in this section in full details.	3	Grant applicant had addressed only one of the requirements specified in this section in full details.	1	
Scoring Criteria	Points									
Grant applicant had addressed all requirements specified in this section in full details.	5									
Grant applicant had addressed between two and three the requirements specified in this section in full details.	3									
Grant applicant had addressed only one of the requirements specified in this section in full details.	1									

	Grant applicant has not addressed the requirements specified in this section.	0											
3	Risk Management Strategy		10										
	<p><i>Risk Register</i></p> <p>Grant applicants must provide a full risk register and mitigation where:</p> <p>3.1.1 More than 5 risks associated with the programme have been identified and are in line with the programme as set out in the project plan and solution. the scorers will assess whether all reasonable risk have been addressed and that the respondent has not left obvious risk out of the contingency assessment.</p> <p>3.1.2 They must identify any possible problems that might hinder delivery and indicate how they will avoid or overcome those problems.</p> <p>3.1.3 Provide full risk mitigation which is sufficiently detailed.</p>												
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Scoring Criteria</th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>More than 5 possible risks that are carefully and excellently considered and appropriate mitigating actions and solutions proposed.</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Only 5 possible risks that are well considered and honest appropriate mitigating actions and solutions proposed.</td> <td>3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Fewer than 5 possible risk considered with limited or no mitigation actions proposed.</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>The section has not been completed.</td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Scoring Criteria	Points	More than 5 possible risks that are carefully and excellently considered and appropriate mitigating actions and solutions proposed.	5	Only 5 possible risks that are well considered and honest appropriate mitigating actions and solutions proposed.	3	Fewer than 5 possible risk considered with limited or no mitigation actions proposed.	1	The section has not been completed.	0		
Scoring Criteria	Points												
More than 5 possible risks that are carefully and excellently considered and appropriate mitigating actions and solutions proposed.	5												
Only 5 possible risks that are well considered and honest appropriate mitigating actions and solutions proposed.	3												
Fewer than 5 possible risk considered with limited or no mitigation actions proposed.	1												
The section has not been completed.	0												
4	Resources		20										
	<p><i>Capacity to implement a programme of this size</i></p> <p>Grant applicants must:</p> <p>4.1.1 Have appropriately qualified and relevant experienced resources (i.e. CVs are relevant to the programme delivery, including project management and support functions).</p> <p>4.1.2 The team proposed has relevant expertise and experience. This includes administrative staff; lecturers / trainers; and tutors. If sub-contracting, the grant applicant must provide their (sub-contractor's) minimum criteria for selection of lecturers/trainers and tutors.</p>												
	<p>4.1.3 Have sufficient resources i.e. proportion of lecturers / tutors to the number of learners proposed, is feasible.</p> <p>4.1.4 The CVs provided include experience in course development. If sub-contracting, the grant applicant must provide their minimum criteria for selection of lecturers/trainers and tutors.</p> <p>4.1.5 Provide references in individuals' CVs for the delivery of non-PIVOTAL programmes.</p> <p>4.1.6 Evidence of past successful programme management is presented (including the ability to achieve the desired throughput rate).</p> <p>4.1.7 The majority of the team members proposed must be Black Africans.</p>												
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Scoring Criteria</th> <th>Points</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>All CVs of the team proposed indicate appropriately qualifications and relevant experience and members include references indicating successful delivery of PIVOTAL programmes. The majority of the team members are Black Africans.</td> <td>5</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Scoring Criteria	Points	All CVs of the team proposed indicate appropriately qualifications and relevant experience and members include references indicating successful delivery of PIVOTAL programmes. The majority of the team members are Black Africans.	5								
Scoring Criteria	Points												
All CVs of the team proposed indicate appropriately qualifications and relevant experience and members include references indicating successful delivery of PIVOTAL programmes. The majority of the team members are Black Africans.	5												

DPG Professional Body Qualification and Designation Support (Non-PIVOTAL)

	Some CVs of the team proposed have appropriately qualified and relevant experience, and the majority of the team is not Black Africans.	3	
	All CVs of the team proposed have appropriately qualified and relevant experience, but the majority of the team is not Black Africans. .	1	
	No experienced CVs of proposed team and there is no majority of Black Africans.	0	

Annexure A: Certificate of Independent Grant Submission

I, _____ the undersigned, in submitting the

Discretionary Project Grant Application

for _____, do hereby make the following

statements that I certify to be true and complete in every respect.

I, certify that on behalf of:

Name of Grant Applicant:

1. I have read and understood the contents of this Certificate of Independent Grant Submission (Certificate).
2. I understand that the accompanying Discretionary Project Grant Application (Grant Application) will be rejected if this certificate is found not to be true and complete in every respect.
3. I am authorized by the Grant Applicant to sign this Certificate and to submit the accompanying Grant Application on behalf of the Grant Applicant.
4. Each person whose signature appears on the accompanying Grant Application has been authorised by the Grant Applicant to determine the terms of and to sign the Grant Application on behalf of the Grant Applicant.
5. For the purposes of this Certificate and the accompanying Grant Application, I understand the word “competitor” shall include an individual or organisation, other than the Grant Applicant, whether or not affiliated with the Grant Applicant, who:
 - 5.1.1. Has been requested to submit a Grant Application;
 - 5.1.2. Could potentially submit a Grant Application based on their qualifications, abilities or experience;
and
 - 5.1.3. Provides the same services as the Grant Applicant and/or is in the same line of business as The Grant Applicant.
6. The Grant Applicant has arrived at the accompanying Grant Application submission independently from and without consultation, communication, agreement, or arrangement with any competitor. However, communication between partners in a joint venture or consortium¹ will not be construed as collusive.
7. In particular, without limiting the generality of paragraph 6 above, there has been no consultation, communication agreement or arrangement with any competitor regarding:
 - 7.1. Prices;
 - 7.2. Geographical area where the service will be rendered (market allocation);
 - 7.3. Methods, factors or formulas used to calculate prices;
 - 7.4. The intention or decision to submit, or not submit, a Grant Application;
 - 7.5. The submission of a Grant Application does not meet the specification and condition of the Grant Application Requirements; or
 - 7.6. Submitting a Grant Application with the intention not to have the grant approved.

¹ Joint Venture or Consortium means an association of persons for the purpose of combining their expertise, property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge in an activity for the execution of a contract.

8. In addition, there have been no consultations, communications, agreements or arrangement with any competitor regarding the quality, quantity, specification and conditions or delivery particulars of the services to which this Grant Application relates.
9. The terms of the accompanying Grant Application have not been and will not be disclosed by the Grant Applicant, directly or indirectly, to any competitor, prior to the date and time of the Grant Application opening or of the contract being awarded.
10. I am aware that, in addition and without prejudice to any other remedy provided to combat any restrictive practices related to Discretionary Project Grants and their related contracts, that are suspicious will be reported to the Competition Commission for investigation and possible imposition of administrative penalties in terms of section 59 of the Competition Act, No 89 of 1998 and/or may be reported to the National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) for criminal investigation and/or may be restricted from conducting business with the public sector for a period not exceeding ten (10) years in terms of the Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, No 12 of 2004 or any other applicable legislation.

Signature of Grant Applicant

Date

Position

Name of Grant Applicant

Name of Signatory

Annexure B: Declaration of Interest

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

1. Any legal person, including persons employed by the State¹, or persons having a kinship with persons employed by the State, including a blood relationship, may make an offer or offers in terms of this grant (includes an advertised competitive grant application, competitive bid, limited bid or proposal or a written price quotation).

2. In view of possible allegations of favouritism, should the resulting grant application, or part thereof, be awarded to persons employed by the State, or to persons connected with or related to them, it is required that the grant applicant or his/her authorised representative declare his/her position in relation to the evaluating/ adjudicating authority where:
 - 2.1. The grant applicant is employed by the State; and/or
 - 2.2. The grant applicant is a Board member of Fasset and/or
 - 2.3. The legal person on whose behalf the grant application is signed, has a relationship with persons/a person who are/is involved in the evaluation and or adjudication of the bid(s), or where it is known that such a relationship exists between the person or persons for or on whose behalf the declarant acts and persons who are involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of the bid.

3. In order to give effect to the above, the following questionnaire must be completed and submitted with the bid.
 - 3.1.1 Full Name of grant applicant or his or her representative:

 - 3.1.2 Identity Number:

 - 3.1.3 Position occupied in the Company (director, trustee, shareholder², member, etc):

 - 3.1.4 Registration number of company, enterprise, close corporation, partnership agreement or trust:

 - 3.1.5 Tax Reference Number:

¹ "State" means

- (a) Any national or provincial department, national or provincial public entity or constitutional institution within the meaning of the Public Finance Management Act, 1999 (Act No 1 of 1999);
- (b) Any municipality or municipal entity;
- (c) Provincial legislature;
- (d) National Assembly or the National Council of Provinces;
- (e) Parliament.

² Shareholder” means a person who owns shares in the company and is actively involved in the management of the enterprise or business and exercises control over the enterprise.

3.1.6 VAT Registration Number:

3.1.7 The names of all directors/ trustees/ shareholders/ members, their individual identity numbers, tax reference numbers and, if applicable, employee/ PERSAL numbers must be indicated below:

3.2 Are you or any person connected with this grant application presently employed by the state?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.2.1 If “Yes” was indicated, please furnish the following particulars:

3.2.1.1 Name of person/ director/ trustee/ shareholder/ member:

3.2.1.2 Name of State institution at which you or the person connected to the grant application is employed:

3.2.1.3 Position occupied in the State institution:

3.2.1.4 Any other particulars?

3.3 If you are presently employed by the state, did you obtain the appropriate authorisation to undertake remunerative work outside employment in the public sector?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

3.3.1 If “Yes” was indicated, was proof of such authorization attached to the grant application

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

(Note: Failure to submit proof of such authorization, where applicable, may result in the disqualification of the grant application).

3.3.2 If "No" was indicated, please furnish reasons for non-submission of authorization.

3.4 Did you or your spouse, or any of the company's directors/trustees/ shareholders/ members or their spouses conduct business with the State in the previous twelve (12) months?

Yes	
No	

3.4.1 If "Yes" was indicated, please furnish the following particulars:

3.4.1.1 Name of person/ director/ trustee/ shareholder/ member:

3.4.1.2 Name of State institution at which you or the person connected to the grant application conducted business:

3.4.1.3 Any other particulars?

3.5 Do you, or any person connected with the grant application, have any relationship (family, friend, other) with a person employed by the State (Pty) Ltd and who may be involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of this grant application?

Yes	
No	

3.5.1 If "Yes" was indicated, please furnish the following particulars:

3.5.1.1 Name of person/ director/ trustee/ shareholder/ member:

3.5.1.2 Any other particulars?

3.6 Are you, or any person connected with the grant application, aware of any relationship (family, friend, other) between any other grant application and any person employed by the State who may be involved with the evaluation and or adjudication of this grant application?

DPG Professional Body Qualification and Designation Support (Non-PIVOTAL)

Yes	
No	

3.6.1 If "Yes" was indicated, please furnish the following particulars:

3.6.1.1 Name of person/ director/ trustee/ shareholder/ member:

3.6.1.2 Any other particulars?

3.7 Do you or any of the directors/trustees/shareholders/members of the company have any interest in any other related companies whether or not they are bidding for this contract?

Yes	
No	

3.7.1 If "Yes" was indicated, please furnish the following particulars:

3.7.1.1 Name of person/ director/ trustee/ shareholder/ member:

3.7.1.2 Any other particulars?

4. Please complete the table below with the full details of directors/ trustees/ members/ shareholders. (Public Entities are exempted)

Full Name	Identity Number	Personal Income Tax Reference No	State Employee Number/ PERSAL Number

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned (full name) _____
certify that the information furnished above is correct. I accept that Fasset may reject the Grant Application or
act against me should this declaration prove to be false.

Name of Grant Applicant

Signature

Position of Grant Applicant within Organisation

Date